Review form

Recommendations for Authors:

Please, evaluate the each area of the paper by selecting one of four responses (mark an 'x' in the appropriate place)

1. Context of the paper: introduction and theoretical background

	Yes	Can be improved*	Must be improved*	Not applicable*
Is the title of the article formulated correctly?				
Are the issues discussed in the article relevant and topical in the field of management and/or economics?				
Have the research gap and questions been clearly identified?				
Are the research objectives explicitly defined?				
Has appropriate and current literature been used to show the context of the study?				
Do the research hypotheses emerge logically from the literature review?				
Are the analyses carried out presented (shown) in an international context?				

(*) Related to the assessment of the above issues, please indicate what needs to be improved:

…………………………………………………………………………………………………

2. Methods

	Yes	Can be improved*	Must be improved*	Not applicable*
Are the methods and techniques used appropriate to the purpose of the research?				
Is the description of the research method and data collection procedure clear and complete (allowing for replication)?				

(*) Related to the assessment of the above issues, please indicate what needs to be improved:

…………………………………………………………………………………………………

3. Results / Findings: description, analysis and interpretation

	Yes	Can be improved*	Must be improved*	Not applicable*
Have the results of the study been thoroughly presented, interpreted and summarised?				
Have the results been compared with existing research?				
Has it been indicated how the conducted research contributes to the existing body of knowledge?				
Have the limitations and future directions of the study been clearly indicated?				

(*) Related to the assessment of the above issues, please indicate what needs to be improved:

……………………………………………………………………………………………

Other comments to authors (optional):

……………………………………………………………………………………………

……………………………………………………………………………………………

Recommendations for Editors:

	High	Average	Low
How would you evaluate the level of originality of the paper?			
How would you evaluate the logic and coherence of the constructed paper?			
How would you evaluate the overall value of the reviewed article?			

Other comments to Editors: (optional)

……………………………………………………………………………………………

……………………………………………………………………………………………

Overall Recommendation* (please, mark „x" in an appropriate place):

☐ The article can be published without corrections

☐ The article can be published after introducing suggested changes and corrections

☐ The article requires thorough changes therefore it should be re-reviewed after introducing suggested corrections

☐ The article cannot be qualified for publishing

Reviewer's declaration*

☐ I do not know the identity of the Author/s of the reviewed article

☐ I know the identity of the Author/s but there is no conflict of interest

Reviewer's statement*

☐ I confirm that I have not used generative artificial intelligence or AI-assisted technological methods to prepare this review. I prepared the review independently based on my own evaluation and writing.

Management reserves the right to investigate and withdraw reviews found to use such tools.

Thank you for the review

Editor-in-chief of Management